

Sabrina Wardatul Jannah Husain, S.Pd., M.A
Prof. Dr. Moon Hidayati Otoluwa, M.Hum
Muhammad Ilham Ali, SS., M.Pd



SHAKESPEARE

*in The Modern Mirror:
Reflections on
Contemporary Issues*



Editor:

Yayu Anggraini Katili, M.Pd

SHAKESPEARE IN THE MODERN MIRROR: REFLECTIONS ON CONTEMPORARY ISSUES

Sabrina Wardatul Jannah Husain, S.Pd., M.A
Prof. Dr. Moon Hidayati Otoluwa, M.Hum
Muhammad Ilham Ali, SS., M.Pd



Tahta Media Group

UU No 28 tahun 2014 tentang Hak Cipta

Fungsi dan sifat hak cipta Pasal 4

Hak Cipta sebagaimana dimaksud dalam Pasal 3 huruf a merupakan hak eksklusif yang terdiri atas hak moral dan hak ekonomi.

Pembatasan Pelindungan Pasal 26

Ketentuan sebagaimana dimaksud dalam Pasal 23, Pasal 24, dan Pasal 25 tidak berlaku terhadap:

- penggunaan kutipan singkat Ciptaan dan/atau produk Hak Terkait untuk pelaporan peristiwa aktual yang ditujukan hanya untuk keperluan penyediaan informasi aktual;
- Penggandaan Ciptaan dan/atau produk Hak Terkait hanya untuk kepentingan penelitian ilmu pengetahuan;
- Penggandaan Ciptaan dan/atau produk Hak Terkait hanya untuk keperluan pengajaran, kecuali pertunjukan dan Fonogram yang telah dilakukan Pengumuman sebagai bahan ajar; dan
- penggunaan untuk kepentingan pendidikan dan pengembangan ilmu pengetahuan yang memungkinkan suatu Ciptaan dan/atau produk Hak Terkait dapat digunakan tanpa izin Pelaku Pertunjukan, Produser Fonogram, atau Lembaga Penyiaran.

Sanksi Pelanggaran Pasal 113

- Setiap Orang yang dengan tanpa hak melakukan pelanggaran hak ekonomi sebagaimana dimaksud dalam Pasal 9 ayat (1) huruf i untuk Penggunaan Secara Komersial dipidana dengan pidana penjara paling lama 1 (satu) tahun dan/atau pidana denda paling banyak Rp100.000.000 (seratus juta rupiah).
- Setiap Orang yang dengan tanpa hak dan/atau tanpa izin Pencipta atau pemegang Hak Cipta melakukan pelanggaran hak ekonomi Pencipta sebagaimana dimaksud dalam Pasal 9 ayat (1) huruf c, huruf d, huruf f, dan/atau huruf h untuk Penggunaan Secara Komersial dipidana dengan pidana penjara paling lama 3 (tiga) tahun dan/atau pidana denda paling banyak Rp500.000.000,00 (lima ratus juta rupiah).

**SHAKESPEARE IN THE MODERN MIRROR: REFLECTIONS
ON CONTEMPORARY ISSUES**

Penulis:

Sabrina Wardatul Jannah Husain, S.Pd., M.A
Prof. Dr. Moon Hidayati Otoluwa, M.Hum
Muhammad Ilham Ali, SS., M.Pd

Desain Cover:

Tahta Media

Editor:

Yayu Anggraini Katili, M.Pd

Proofreader:

Tahta Media

Ukuran:

v,79, Uk: 15,5 x 23 cm

ISBN: 978-623-147-394-3

Cetakan Pertama:

Mei 2024

Hak Cipta 2024, Pada Penulis

Isi diluar tanggung jawab percetakan

Copyright © 2024 by Tahta Media Group

All Right Reserved

Hak cipta dilindungi undang-undang
Dilarang keras menerjemahkan, memfotokopi, atau
memperbanyak sebagian atau seluruh isi buku ini
tanpa izin tertulis dari Penerbit.

PENERBIT TAHTA MEDIA GROUP
(Grup Penerbitan CV TAHTA MEDIA GROUP)
Anggota IKAPI (216/JTE/2021)

PREFACE

Welcome to Shakespeare's world reflected in a modern mirror. This book is not only about celebrating the great works of William Shakespeare, but also about how they permeate the contemporary social, cultural and political order. In the following pages, we invite you to deepen your understanding of Shakespeare in the context of today.

Through an in-depth discussion of contemporary issues such as justice, power, love, identity, and morality, this book seeks to illustrate how Shakespeare remains a clear mirror for our changing world. We hope readers will find new insights, inspiration, and personal reflections through this intellectual journey.

This book is the result of our hard work and dedication, but it is also the result of a long journey of previous Shakespearean thinkers, researchers, and fans. We are grateful to all who have provided support and inspiration, as well as to Shakespeare himself who remains an infinite source of wonder and knowledge.

Without further delay, let us together explore and unearth the rich world of Shakespeare in the shadow of modern reflection. Happy reading!

Tondano, April 2024
Author

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface iv

Table Of Contents..... v

Introduction 1

Chapter 1 A Comprehensive Study Of Betrayal Through Shakespeare’s Julius Caesar 3

Chapter 2 Tracing The Evolution Of Jealousy And Its Psychological Depths Through The Lens Of Shakespeare’s Masterpiece, Othello 20

Chapter 3 Power And Passion; The Intricacies Of Political Marriage In Anthony And Cleopatra..... 40

Chapter 4 Exploring Friedship Dynamics: The Case Of Briegadir J, Brada Eliezer, And Ferdy Sambo In Light Of Shakespearean Insights 56

References 76

About The Authors 78

INTRODUCTION

Greetings and welcome to the event titled "Shakespeare in the Modern Mirror: Reflections on Contemporary Issues." Through an enthralling exploration of Shakespeare's enduring works, we go into a deep examination of human nature, society, and the intricacies of the human condition. As we explore the intricate fabric of Shakespearean theater, we discover significant revelations that still have a strong impact on our contemporary society.

Throughout the upcoming pages, we will explore four separate but interconnected chapters, each providing a fresh viewpoint on timeless and universal subjects. Shakespeare's plays act as a reflection, mirroring the timeless truths and struggles that we face in our modern world, encompassing themes of betrayal, jealousy, power, and friendship.

Chapter 1, titled "A Comprehensive Study of Betrayal through Shakespeare's Julius Caesar," explores the complex network of loyalty and treachery that characterizes one of Shakespeare's most renowned tragedies. By conducting a thorough examination of the characters and storyline, we uncover the reasons behind treachery and the resulting outcomes, so illuminating its ongoing significance in modern society.

Chapter 2, titled "Tracing the Evolution of Jealousy and Its Psychological Depths through the Lens of Shakespeare's Masterpiece, Othello," takes us on an exploration of the most profound aspects of the human mind. Through an analysis of the sad demise of Othello, we are confronted with the deleterious influence of jealousy and its deep consequences on persons and relationships.

Chapter 3, titled "Power and Passion: The Intricacies of Political Marriage in Anthony and Cleopatra," takes us to the ancient civilizations of Rome and Egypt. In this chapter, the intricate relationship between love and politics is explored, presenting a captivating narrative of ambition and desire. The intricate power dynamics and the relentless pursuit of passion and independence are examined via the stormy romance between Anthony and Cleopatra.

In Chapter 4, titled "Exploring Friendship Dynamics: The Case of Brigadier J, Bharada Eliezer, and General Ferdy Sambo in Light of Shakespearean Insights," we focus on the connections that bring us together

during difficult times. By drawing inspiration from Shakespearean dramas, we analyze the intricacies of friendship and loyalty, shedding light on the lasting importance of human relationships in a swiftly evolving world.

As we begin this exploration of Shakespeare's works, we are reminded of the enduring sagacity and discernment encapsulated in these literary masterpieces. Through introspection of historical events, we acquire a more profound comprehension of the current circumstances and a discerning perception of the obstacles that await us in the future. Join us as we explore the influence of Shakespeare in contemporary society, and recognize the lasting significance of his work in our ever changing world.

CHAPTER 1

A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF BETRAYAL THROUGH SHAKESPEARE'S JULIUS CAESAR

INTRODUCTION

On April 3, 1882, an American criminal (US), Jesse James was shot dead. He died at the hands of Bob Ford, his own partner, in one of the world's best-known stories of criminal betrayal. James led the James Gang on a robbery spree during the late 1800s that targeted everything from banks to trains to ordinary people. The robberies continued for about 16 years across the Midwest, coming to an abrupt end in 1876 when the gang murdered two people during a botched bank robbery. Several gang members were captured, but Jesse James managed to escape, robbing just one more train in 1880 -- the same year a Missouri governor put a price on his head. Turns out, Robert Ford, a member of James' own gang, would become a traitor. On April 3, 1882, he killed James by shooting him in the back. Ford killed James not only for the \$10,000 reward he planned to split with his brother Charles, but also because the Missouri governor had promised the brothers their crimes would be pardoned. This case of betrayal by Robert Ford also occurs in William Shakespeare's work, *Julius Caesar*.

Julius Caesar, a tragic play penned by William Shakespeare, delves into the themes of power, honor, and the conflict between destiny and personal choice. The drama takes place in ancient Rome and focuses on the events leading up to the death of Julius Caesar and the ensuing power struggle. The main protagonists consist of Caesar, Brutus, Cassius, and Mark Antony, each embodying distinct aspects of political doctrine and personal belief.

The play is renowned for its examination of political maneuvers and the ethical quandaries confronted by its protagonists, which enhance its lasting significance in scrutinizing the intricacies of authority and the repercussions of political choices. It is commonly seen as a forerunner to Shakespeare's subsequent, more developed tragedies.

The play's renowned quotations, such as "Beware the Ides of March" and Mark Antony's eulogy ("Friends, Romans, countrymen, lend me your ears..."), have achieved iconic status in the realm of literature. There have been several film adaptations of the play, including a significant one directed by Joseph L. Mankiewicz in 1953.

Julius Caesar is a historical play and tragic drama written by William Shakespeare, which was initially staged in 1599. The drama is adapted from Sir Thomas North's 1579 translation of Plutarch's "Bioi parallēloi" (Parallel Lives), which recounts the narrative of the plot against Caesar.

The play's narrative centers on the conspiracy orchestrated by Cassius to assassinate Julius Caesar, driven by the apprehension that he would assume dictatorial powers. Antony, the trusted confidant of Caesar, incites animosity towards the conspirators, resulting in a momentous internal conflict in Rome.

The drama incorporates numerous anachronistic aspects from the Elizabethan age, including references to objects like doublets, which were not present during ancient Rome. The characters also refer to a clock chiming, a practice that was uncommon in ancient Rome.

Shakespearean critics hold contrasting opinions regarding the roles of Caesar and Brutus, disputing over which character serves as the protagonist in the play. Several scholars have examined the names or titles attributed to Brutus and Caesar. It has been observed that Caesar is frequently described as a "Colossus," a term that suggests strength and masculinity. However, it is worth noting that this term also has less familiar overtones of an impressive facade hiding internal turmoil.

Scholars and literary lovers persist in examining and discussing the ideas and characters of the play, providing a valuable understanding of the intricacies of human nature and the lasting impact of Shakespeare's writings. One of the most compelling themes Shakespeare explores is betrayal, a common thread woven through many of his works.

The issue of betrayal is prominently featured in William Shakespeare's drama "Julius Caesar." The drama delves into the theme of betrayal via the actions of many individuals, exposing the detrimental outcomes of betraying trust and devotion. The treachery committed by Brutus against Julius Caesar is a crucial occurrence in the play, resulting in Caesar's murder and a following conflict for power in Rome.

The drama illuminates the intricacies of human nature, wherein characters are propelled by a combination of reasons such as ambition, avarice, and a longing for authority. Cassius is depicted as a skilled manipulator who employs deception and false appearances to persuade Brutus to participate in the plot against Caesar. The significance of Cassius's betrayal of Brutus lies in his exploitation of Brutus's sense of obligation towards Rome, which he manipulates to persuade Brutus to participate in the conspiracy.

Brutus finds himself in a dilemma, as he struggles to balance his allegiance to Caesar with his perceived obligation to Rome. The renowned monologue, "Not that I have any less affection for Caesar, but my devotion to Rome surpasses it," highlights the conflict between his individual emotions and his duty towards the city. In the end, Brutus's act of treachery towards Caesar is a calamitous occurrence that results in the ruin of both Caesar and Brutus himself.

The play also delves into the concept of treachery through the character of Mark Antony, who initially seems disloyal to Caesar but later becomes a pivotal figure in the ensuing power struggle after Caesar's demise. Antony's oration during Caesar's funeral exemplifies the adept utilization of treachery as a means to achieve personal advantage, as he deftly maneuvers the sentiments of the audience to incite their hostility towards Brutus and the other conspirators. Betrayal is the sense of being deceived by the deliberate actions or inactions of someone who was previously seen as a reliable and faithful friend, family member, spouse, coworker, or companion. A traitor or betrayer is an individual who has deceived or betrayed others. Most betrayals are unexpected events that catch people by surprise; rarely is the betrayal doubted at first. (An adversary's detrimental actions may be equally damaging, however they are seldom perceived as treachery). Betrayal is the breaking of an assumed agreement, trust, or confidence that leads to moral and psychological distress in relationships between persons, organizations, or

individuals and organizations. Betrayal is a common theme in literature and is often associated with or used as a narrative device.

The issue of betrayal is prominently featured in William Shakespeare's drama "Julius Caesar." The drama delves into the theme of betrayal via the actions of many individuals, exposing the detrimental outcomes of betraying trust and devotion. The treachery committed by Brutus against Julius Caesar is a crucial occurrence in the play, resulting in Caesar's murder and a following conflict for power in Rome.

The drama illuminates the intricacies of human nature, wherein characters are propelled by a combination of reasons such as ambition, avarice, and a longing for authority. Cassius is depicted as a skilled manipulator who employs deception and false appearances to persuade Brutus to participate in the plot against Caesar. The significance of Cassius's betrayal of Brutus lies in his exploitation of Brutus's sense of obligation towards Rome, which he manipulates to persuade Brutus to participate in the conspiracy.

Brutus finds himself in a dilemma, as he struggles to balance his allegiance to Caesar with his perceived obligation to Rome. The renowned monologue, "Not that I have any less affection for Caesar, but my devotion to Rome surpasses it," highlights the conflict between his emotions and his duty towards the city. In the end, Brutus's act of treachery towards Caesar is a calamitous occurrence that results in the ruin of both Caesar and Brutus himself.

The play also delves into the concept of treachery through the character of Mark Antony, who initially seems disloyal to Caesar but later becomes a pivotal figure in the ensuing power struggle after Caesar's demise. Antony's oration during Caesar's funeral exemplifies the adept utilization of treachery as a means to achieve personal advantage, as he deftly maneuvers the sentiments of the audience to incite their hostility towards Brutus and the other conspirators.

Betrayal can also be perceived as a harmful assault on a person's core identity, causing feelings of devastation, anger, and confusion due to being abruptly and dishonestly treated. As per Rachman (2010). The consequences of treachery are often enduring, possibly permanent, and are easily recalled. Betrayal is a prevalent theme in William Shakespeare's play, *The Tragedy of Julius Caesar*, affecting numerous characters. The play depicts betrayal mostly

driven by ambition and greed. Julius Caesar's topic revolves around betrayal driven by ambition and avarice.

Developing and teaching loyalty as a positive value can have a beneficial impact on human existence (Sabudu, 2020). Trust and loyalty are essential traits for a partnership to be successful. When such things are damaged, betrayal is likely to occur. Brutus deceived Caesar by seeming to be faithful until he could assassinate him, violating the sacred trust that existed in their friendship. Betrayal is a central idea in Julius Caesar, with numerous instances throughout the story involving nearly all the characters. The central topic of the novel is developed through both the significant betrayal of Caesar and the smaller betrayals among people that lead to Caesar's death.

Betrayal is a common theme in literature and can also be seen in real-life stories, as the well-known instance between Jesse James and Bob Ford. James, a well-known American criminal, was killed by his own accomplice, Ford, in a story reminiscent of the themes of betrayal present in Shakespeare's writings. Ford's treachery, motivated by avarice and the hope of clemency, mirrors the duplicitous behavior of figures like as Brutus in Julius Caesar, whose ambition ultimately results in betrayal and calamity.

Betrayal involves intentionally deceiving individuals who were previously trusted, leading to significant emotional and psychological turmoil in interpersonal relationships. Betrayal's impact of devastation and uncertainty is a common theme in Shakespeare's examination of human nature in literature. Julius Caesar portrays betrayal as a harmful influence driven by ambition and greed, leading men like Brutus to prioritize power over loyalty and trust.

Betrayal's lasting effects are seen in both literary and real-life relationships, where the breach of trust can have widespread consequences. Emphasizing loyalty and trust is crucial for building strong and successful partnerships, as seen in historical records and literary stories that depict the negative outcomes of betrayal. Julius Caesar depicts how treachery by Caesar's closest allies warns against the perils of prioritizing ambition over integrity and loyalty.

The issue of treachery in Julius Caesar delves deeply into human weakness and ethical uncertainty. Shakespeare explores human nature by examining loyalty, ambition, and deceit, illustrating the catastrophic outcomes

of betrayal and the lasting impact of treachery in history and literature. Julius Caesar portrays the persistent impact of betrayal on history and the intricate nature of human connections as characters deal with the consequences of their acts.

RESEARCH METHOD

The writer employed a qualitative research approach focused on comprehending the meaning and experiential aspects of human lives and their social environments (Fossey, et al., 2002). The qualitative study gathered data in the form of words or pictures from books, journals, and other resources through library research. The data were obtained from two categories: original sources, such as William Shakespeare's Julius Caesar, and secondary sources, containing references like journals, books, or online sources that are related to the research.

DISCUSSION

Result and Analysis

This sub-chapter will analyze the concept of betrayal, a prominent aspect of William Shakespeare's play Julius Caesar. The act of betrayal, carried out by Brutus and the other conspirators against Julius Caesar, not only serves as the focal point of the dramatic conflict, but also provides deep understanding of the interplay between power, trust, and moral deterioration in human relationships. Specifically, Brutus' treachery towards Caesar not only exemplifies the climax of political scheming, but also exposes the tremendous emotional calamity that arises from the clash between one's civic obligations and personal relationships. This research examines how Shakespeare depicts treachery as a catalyst that not only alters the course of events but also reshapes the traits of the characters involved and the moral framework of Roman society.

'Et tu, Brute?'

(Act 3, Scene 1)

Caesar utters this renowned phrase before being stabbed by Brutus, conveying his profound sense of astonishment and disillusionment at the treachery of his trusted companion. This phrase is found in Act 3, Scene 1, during the moment when Caesar is assaulted by the conspirators in the Senate.

The employment of the phrase "Et tu, Brute?" not only signifies Brutus' treachery as a political maneuver, but also as a profound personal betrayal. Not only did Caesar lose his life, but he also lost the trust of a man whom he regarded as a friend. This introduces an agonizing individual aspect to an already merciless political ordeal.

This quote further emphasizes Brutus' emotional turmoil. Brutus, despite being one of the traitors, participates in the conspiracy not out of personal desire, but due to his conviction that his acts are indispensable for the betterment of Rome. Consequently, when Caesar utters the words, they not only emphasize the act of betrayal but also intensify Brutus' uncertainties and internal conflict. Within this particular setting, Brutus is not solely characterized as a traitor, but also as a tragic man whose acts are motivated by a conflicted idealism.

The use of the words "Et tu, Brute?" also encompasses a form of tragic irony. Caesar, who was already formidable and appeared unbeatable, is ultimately defeated by those who were closest to him and whom he trusted the most. Brutus's involvement in the conspiracy represents the corruption and political instability that ultimately led to the downfall of the Roman Republic.

Significantly, these comments serve as a pivotal moment in the script, propelling the storyline towards the repercussions of the murder. Within broader literary discourse, the phrase "Et tu, Brute?" has attained an emblematic status, representing the utmost act of treachery committed by a close companion. It has been employed in diverse settings beyond Shakespeare's literature, acquiring a universal representation of treachery and individual anguish.

The quotation "Et tu, Brute?" in Julius Caesar encompasses intricate depths of significance that interrogate allegiance, authority, and ethics. Through the utterance of these words, Caesar not only articulates his anguish, but also emphasizes the paradoxical nature of authority and the unpredictability of interpersonal connections. Shakespeare's works not only captivate with their dramatic moments, but also delve into deep inquiries regarding human nature and politics.

In the next quote is the quote from Act 1, Scene 2, that shows Caesar's escalating anxiety and perception of susceptibility, hinting to the impending act of treachery.

"I cannot tell what you and other men / Think of this life; but, for my single self, / I had as lief not be as live to be / In awe of such a thing as I myself."

(Act 1, Scene 2)

Caesar demonstrates a profound unease and recognition of his own susceptibility, which is paradoxically evident in his perception of himself as a figure to be both feared and adored. This statement encompasses multiple layers of significance that go further into the underlying themes of betrayal and sorrow.

To begin with, Caesar's statements demonstrate a level of introspection that he seldom exhibits in public. Caesar's acknowledgment of his ignorance regarding others' thoughts on their own lives reveals a prevalent uncertainty that is frequently disregarded by individuals in positions of authority. Additionally, he acknowledges that he would prefer not to exist rather than live in a state of apprehension caused by his own attributes, including his overwhelming power and influence. This demonstrates Caesar's cognizance of the paradoxical nature of power: as power increases, so does the apprehension of losing it, ultimately resulting in vulnerability.

This quote is also characterized by profound irony. Caesar, widely regarded as an unyielding and formidable leader, personally experiences a sense of vulnerability due to his own perception of himself as a figure to be dreaded. This exemplifies the internal struggle within Caesar, as he yearns to project himself as a resolute ruler while also dreading the potential alienation and suspicion from his associates. This admission not only reveals Caesar's individual susceptibility but also indicates an ominous foreshadowing of impending treachery.

The apprehension shown by Caesar in these lines also functions as a premonition of the treachery he would soon encounter. The individual's apprehension around the potential for being singled out due to his personal abilities serves to underscore the inherent imperfections within his character and the political structure that facilitated his ascent to authority. The individual's apprehension towards his own identity serves as a manifestation of the broader issue of political instability in Rome, characterized by the precarious nature of trust and allegiance.

Hence, Caesar's declaration not only exposes his own susceptibility but also affirms the primary motif of Shakespeare's drama: a conflict for authority tainted by treachery and poignant irony. Shakespeare prompts the audience to contemplate not only the qualities that contribute to a person's strength, but also the factors that can unexpectedly undermine their strength, using Caesar's words as a vehicle for this exploration. This text establishes the conditions for the upcoming tragedy and encourages profound contemplation over the cost of authority and the unavoidable apprehension associated with it.

In Act 1, Scene 2 of Shakespeare's *Julius Caesar*, Cassius provides a profound understanding of the causes and emotions that fuel the central betrayal in the play. Cassius' statement, illustrates his perception of Caesar as both a powerful leader and an oppressive figure, whose presence metaphorically diminishes the worth of those around him.

"Why, man, he doth bstride the narrow world / Like a Colossus, and we petty men / Walk under his huge legs and peep about / To find ourselves dishonourable graves,"

The selection of the metaphor "Colossus" is not a random occurrence. The Colossus, one of the Seven Wonders of the Ancient World, was an enormous statue that represented strength and control. Cassius characterizes Caesar as an authoritarian and manipulative individual by his comparison to Colossus. This comparison highlights Caesar's immense strength, which makes others feel subordinate and unimportant. This further emphasizes the emotions of insignificance and helplessness felt by Cassius and the other conspirators, who believed that Caesar's greatness had lowered their own sense of importance and influence.

Cassius' statements go beyond mere description; they expose a profound resentment and discontent towards Caesar's reshaping of the power dynamics in Rome. Cassius believed that Caesar's control had diminished the dignity and prestige of both himself and others, preventing them from pursuing honor even in death, leaving them with only the option of "undignified graves". The desire to depose Caesar was fueled by a powerful incentive, as honor and dignity held significant importance in ancient Roman society. Cassius viewed the betrayal of Caesar as a means to reinstate the equilibrium and honor that Caesar had seized.

Based on this perspective, it becomes evident how Cassius strategically employs this statement to exert influence and control individuals, particularly targeting Brutus, who is widely regarded as an esteemed and virtuous character. Cassius successfully instills the idea of betrayal in the minds of people who perceive Caesar as a danger to their collective freedom and honor, by depicting him as such. This is an illustration of how politicians employ language and persuasion to alter people's perceptions and inspire them to take action.

Hence, Cassius' comment not only articulates his own envy and lack of confidence, but also serves as a significant spark that propels the storyline of the betrayal. Shakespeare employs potent language and vivid metaphors to not only emphasize the political and social rifts caused by Caesar's reign but also elucidate the impact of personal emotions and perspectives on the course of history. Cassius, via his eloquent rhetoric, sets the stage for a calamitous event that would profoundly destabilize the very core of the Roman Republic.

Brutus' discourse in Act IV, Scene 2 of Julius Caesar encapsulates a significant moment of self-reflection, exposing the intricate nature of human connections and the harsh irony in his act of betraying Caesar. Brutus, addressing Lucilius, reflects on a potential shift in the nature of friendship:

"You have depicted a passionate friend growing cold: always remember, Lucilius, that when love starts to weaken and deteriorate, it adopts a forced formality."

This speech demonstrates Brutus' cognizance of the inherent and frequently agonizing transformation of a once intimate bond, which gradually becomes formal and ceremonial.

Brutus employs a metaphor in the phrase "A hot friend cooling" to depict the slow transformation of a once warm and fervent connection into a distant and chilly state. This statement may indicate the individual's personal reflections about their association with Caesar. Initially, they were intimately connected and faithful, but with time, they found themselves driven to distance themselves owing to the clash between their personal principles and the demands of the public. This statement not only reflects Brutus' inner emotions but also provides a broader analysis of the dynamic nature of human

relationships, which often evolve in response to various factors such as time and circumstances.

Moreover, Brutus's usage of the term "enforced ceremony" suggests the substitution of authentic and compassionate exchanges with artificial and rigid conduct. It refers to a scenario in which the acts inside a relationship become inflexible and are no longer driven by natural affection, but rather by conformity to external standards or expectations. Within the play, it also symbolizes a shift in the relationship between Brutus and Caesar, as well as between Brutus and the other conspirators.

Understanding Brutus' introspection is crucial not only for comprehending his inner turmoil but also for exploring the pervasive theme of betrayal that permeates the drama. Brutus, driven by his belief in the greater good for Rome, betrays Caesar and becomes entangled in a tumultuous moral conundrum. He comes to see that even well-intentioned actions can inflict profound emotional and moral harm, not just on the person who has been betrayed, but also on the person who committed the betrayal.

Brutus' speech serves as a somber depiction of the actuality of human connections when faced with intense political and moral strain. Shakespeare, using the character of Brutus, examines how ambition, fear, and misplaced allegiance can undermine and even annihilate the bonds of friendship and love that unite society. This imbues Brutus' betrayal of Caesar with a sorrowful aspect, illustrating that the casualties of betrayal extend beyond the betrayed to include the betrayers themselves.

In Act IV, Scene 3 of Julius Caesar, Brutus expresses a significant idea by stating,

"There is a decisive moment in the course of human events, which if seized at the right time, can lead to success and prosperity."

This phrase embodies Brutus' fundamental principles of action and timing, illustrating his profound conviction that pivotal moments must be seized in order to attain favorable results. This comprehension not only reveals Brutus' motives for participating in the plot against Caesar but also his conviction that his acts were imperative for the destiny of the Roman Republic.

Brutus uses the analogy of a "tide" to depict opportunity as a powerful force that, if seized at the opportune moment, can lead to favorable outcomes and achievements. He draws a parallel between this idea and his political predicament, which involves the urgency to take action against Caesar before he consolidates further authority. Brutus argues that there is a limited period of favorable circumstances in politics that should be cautiously and courageously seized upon, demonstrating his moral rationale for undertaking extreme measures.

Brutus perceives his activities against Caesar not as a personal act of disloyalty, but rather as a patriotic endeavor essential to safeguard the Republic from oppressive rule. This highlights the inner conflict and ethical quandary that Brutus confronts: whether he ought to prioritize his allegiance to his buddy or to his nation. Brutus' discernment that he must take action for the betterment of society demonstrates a utilitarian perspective, wherein challenging choices are necessary for the overall long-term advantage.

Nevertheless, when considering the entirety of the work and its historical significance, this observation made by Brutus is likewise filled with sad irony. Despite his conviction that embracing this "tide" will result in "fortune," his endeavors ultimately result in devastation, not only for himself but also for the stability of the Republic he seeks to safeguard. This exemplifies the erroneous assessment in Brutus' discernment and emphasizes Shakespeare's topic of the constraints of human understanding and the capriciousness of the outcomes of human deeds.

Brutus's words eloquently express the intricacy of decision-making in high-pressure circumstances and how an individual's perception of time and opportunity may shape the result of significant endeavors. Shakespeare's play, "The Tragedy of Brutus," delves into the notion that apparently logical and carefully thought-out decisions can lead to unforeseen and calamitous outcomes. The play underscores the idea that although individuals may strive to manipulate their own destiny, they frequently become casualties of their own predetermined path. Despite Brutus' well-meaning intentions, he is ultimately powerless to avoid the tragic consequences that he himself has caused. This highlights Shakespeare's theme on the fleeting and pointless nature of human ambition.

Julius Caesar In Contemporary Issue

The betrayal of Julius Caesar by his buddy Brutus in "Julius Caesar" is a crucial occurrence that propels the plot. Likewise, the assassination of Jesse James by Robert Ford, a former member of the gang who aimed to gain recognition and wealth by killing James, represents a treacherous act that signifies the conclusion of James's criminal life.

Both stories also exhibit the psychological dynamics of betrayal. Brutus's act of betraying Caesar is prompted by his intention to save Rome from what he views as Caesar's increasing autocracy, whereas Ford's act of betraying Jesse James is motivated by a combination of personal ambition and a longing for acknowledgment. Both instances of betrayal result in major repercussions for the individuals involved, resulting in a profound feeling of loss, remorse, and frequently, an enduring reputation of dishonor.

Both the treachery of Julius Caesar and the assassination of Jesse James exhibit numerous similarities:

1. The instances of betrayal in both Julius Caesar and Jesse James exemplify the profound disillusionment that stems from being betrayed by persons who were perceived as reliable companions or associates. Julius Caesar was betrayed by Brutus, a trusted friend and fellow senator who had a strong bond with Caesar. In a like manner, Jesse James, an iconic criminal from the age of the Wild West, encountered treachery from Robert Ford, a fellow member of his gang who was seen as a comrade and accomplice in unlawful activities. The establishment of trust in these connections, whether at the political level as exemplified by Caesar or in the criminal underworld as exemplified by Jesse James, highlights the intricate nature of human interactions and the vulnerability of trust. This implies that betrayal not only undermines personal connections, but also prompts significant inquiries on the essence and boundaries of loyalty within intricate and extensive interpersonal connections.
2. Both Julius Caesar and Jesse James were driven by a great desire for personal gain when they committed their betrayals. Brutus's betrayal of Caesar was driven by his conviction that his acts were necessary to protect Rome from the oppressive rule that he imagined would result from Caesar's ultimate authority. Brutus believed that his acts were motivated by the greater good and the honor of the Republic. However,

it is important to note that his desire to retain political power and personal autonomy also played a role. Regarding Ford's betrayal of Jesse James, his aim was evidently focused on personal enrichment. Ford opted to assassinate James under the belief that such an action would propel him into renown and immeasurable affluence. Both scenarios demonstrate how personal motivations behind acts of treachery expose the intricate moral nature of human choices. This situation prompts significant inquiries on the demarcation between individual aspirations and dedication to justice or wider ethical standards in political and social settings.

3. The assassinations of Julius Caesar and Jesse James were both surprising and unforeseen, resulting in profound shock and sadness among acquaintances of the deceased. The killing of Caesar took place abruptly in an unforeseen assault, causing immense astonishment among the senate members and his followers who had not anticipated that a leader of Caesar's magnitude would succumb to such conditions. Conversely, the killing of Jesse James was an exceptionally stunning act of treachery, as it was carried out by an individual whom James regarded as a trusted ally and accomplice. These episodes exemplify the volatility and unpredictability that frequently characterize the realm of politics and crime, where allegiance and confidence are frequently overridden by personal agendas or unforeseen betrayal. Both instances illustrate the intricate and unpredictable nature of human behavior, as well as the inability to foresee or manage disastrous events. This emphasizes the theme of tragedy and irony in the course of history.
4. Both acts of betrayal have resulted in a long-lasting reputation of disgrace. The act of betrayal committed by Brutus towards Caesar has been widely recognized as an embodiment of treason, exemplifying deceit and treachery as a breach of loyalty. The portrayal of Brutus betraying a trusted friend and leader has deeply penetrated popular culture, giving rise to the most deceptive perception of political treachery in history. However, Robert Ford's decision to assassinate Jesse James has permanently tarnished his reputation. He is commonly depicted as a "dishonorable and treacherous individual" in historical records and popular media, emphasizing his involvement in criminal activities and

acts of betrayal as key aspects of his character. Both instances exemplify how radical actions can attain legendary stature, not due to their worth or accomplishment, but because of the atrocious deeds they symbolize. This demonstrates that the enduring reputation of disgrace left behind by treacherous deeds not only impacts the individuals implicated, but also leaves a lasting impression on the shared awareness of society, serving as a reminder of the sorrowful outcomes of dishonesty and betrayal of confidence.

5. Impact on the victim's reputation. Both Caesar and Jesse James were renowned for their charm and contentious reputations, yet their assassinations precipitated a substantial deterioration in their popular perception. The killing of Caesar signified the conclusion of his rule and sparked a conflict for control in Rome, which eroded perceptions of Roman authority and stability. The demise of Jesse James precipitated a diminishment in the mythos surrounding him and a transformation in the public's opinion of him. James was formerly revered as a folk hero and a symbol of opposition against corrupt government. However, Ford's actions caused public opinion to shift, becoming more skeptical of James' character and questioning his intentions and deeds. Ultimately, the killing of these individuals not only resulted in their physical demise but also eroded the myths and tales they had cultivated over their lifetimes. This underscores the significance of the public's perception of historical figures and how catastrophic events like these can modify the overall assessment of their personality and lasting impact.
6. The activities of both Brutus and Robert Ford had a profound psychological influence on them, leading to serious consequences. Brutus is plagued incessantly by the consequences of his betrayal and ultimately commits himself, illustrating the profound psychological anguish he endures due to his deeds. His act of treachery towards Caesar, motivated by a conviction in the pursuit of a higher purpose, burdened him deeply with indelible remorse. Conversely, Robert Ford faced social ostracism and grappled with the adverse notoriety resulting from his activities. As the one responsible for the assassination of Jesse James, Ford experienced significant societal pressure and shame, demonstrating how the negative perception and criticism from society may impose a

substantial psychological weight on a criminal, even long after the incident has occurred. Both individuals experienced repercussions that extended beyond the physical realm, emphasizing the intricate emotional and psychological aspects associated with ill-fated and impulsive choices. This implies that although radical actions might lead to significant consequences, the negative impact on individuals' mental health is frequently disregarded or underestimated in popular accounts of these incidents.

CONCLUSION

Based on the aforementioned analysis of the quotes and anecdotes pertaining to Julius Caesar and Jesse James, it is evident that various conclusions may be derived, which emphasize the intricate nature of human connections, the dynamics of power, and the profound influence of tragedy in historical events. First and foremost, the idea of betrayal serves as the unifying element between these two novels. The act of betrayal, whether it occurs in a political setting like the situation between Brutus and Caesar, or in a criminal one like the case of Ford and Jesse James, exposes the intricate moral and emotional dynamics inherent in human relationships.

Moreover, these instances also emphasize the significance of individual motivations in radical actions. Both Brutus and Ford were motivated by their personal convictions and aspirations, whether it was for the betterment of society or their interests. This exemplifies the ethical dilemmas that frequently arise in significant decision-making processes, where aspirations, integrity, and fairness contend with one another.

Furthermore, the research also emphasizes the repercussions that extend beyond the specific incident. Murder or betrayal has far-reaching consequences, affecting not only the victim but also the perpetrator in terms of damaged reputations and significant psychological effects. These tragic events leave a lasting impact on history and culture, emphasizing the intricate nature of human behavior and the uncertain nature of destiny.

Stories like Julius Caesar and Jesse James not only depict themes of power and evil, but also offer profound insights into the intricate nature of human beings, difficult moral challenges, and the unforeseeable repercussions of severe deeds. This serves as a reminder of the significance of introspection,

comprehending the circumstances, and valuing the intricacies of history and human psychology when examining real and fictional occurrences.

REFERENCES

- Anderson P. A., Eloy S. V., Guerrero L. K., Spitzberg B. H. (1995). Romantic jealousy and relational satisfaction: A look at the impact of jealous experience and expression. *Communication Reports*, 8, 77-85.
- Battisti, C. (2021). "Shakespeare's Pioneer Migrant Othello": Desire, Migration, Pathology and the Politics of Citizenship in *The Nature of Blood*. *Pólemos*, 15, 307 - 331. <https://doi.org/10.1515/pol-2021-2019>.
- Beckes L. A. (2009). Discrepancy and evaluation in romantic relationships: Testing the emotion in relationships model (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Minnesota, Minneapolis.
- Buunk, B. (1982) 'Anticipated Sexual Jealousy: Its Relationship to Self-esteem, Dependency, and Reciprocity', *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 8: 310-316.
- Green, W. (2023). Review of William Shakespeare's *Julius Caesar* (Directed by Atri Banerjee for the Royal Shakespeare Company) at the Royal Shakespeare Theatre, Stratford-upon-Avon, 29 March 2023. Shakespeare, 19, 606 - 610. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17450918.2023.2205382>.
- Gulati, R. (2019). The Role of Human Emotion and Character in Shakespeare's *Othello* vs. God and Heaven in Cinthio's "A Moorish Captain". . <https://doi.org/10.7907/HRTN-RP56>.
- Hasan, M. (2022). Conspiracy and Punishment in Shakespeare's *Julius Caesar*. *Journal of Education College Wasit University*. <https://doi.org/10.31185/eduj.vol1.iss46.2396>.
- Honigsmann, E. (1998). Politics, rhetoric and will-power in *Julius Caesar*. , 21-42. https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230374133_3.
- Kastan, D. (2018). Shakespeare and the Limits of Cosmopolitanism. *The AnaChronisT*. <https://doi.org/10.53720/fqxr2530>.
- Moghari, S. (2021). Racism, Ethnic Discrimination, and Otherness in Shakespeare's *Othello* and *The Merchant of Venice*. *International Journal of English and Comparative Literary Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.47631/IJECLS.V2I4.252>.

- Mullen, P.E. & Maack, L.H. (1985) 'Jealousy, Pathological Jealousy, and Aggression', in D.P. Farrington & J. Gunn (eds) *Aggression and Dangerousness*, pp. 103-126. New York: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Ouattara, D. (2020). The Rise of British Cultural Identity in *Othello* by Shakespeare. *European Scientific Journal*, ESJ, 16. <https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2020.v16n29p177>.
- Radecki Bush, C. , Bush, J.P. & Jennings, J. (1988) 'Effects of Jealousy Threats on Relationship Perceptions and Emotions', *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships* 5: 285-303.
- Rowan, C. (2018). The View from the East: Cleopatra and Mark Antony (38–31 BC). From Caesar to Augustus (c. 49 BC–AD 14). <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781139775311.005>.
- Samardzic, N. (2019). Shakespeare's *Merchant*. Eventually Perceived from the 16th Century Jewish Experience in Dubrovnik and Venice. *Zbornik Drage Roksandića*. <https://doi.org/10.17234/9789531759021.28>.
- Schupak, E. (2019). "I grant I am a woman": Gender and Silence in *Julius Caesar*. *Shakespeare*, 15, 107 - 120. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17450918.2018.1459816>.
- Studi Hotz-Davies, I. (2019). Antony and Cleopatra and the dramaturgy of shame. *European Journal of English Studies*, 23, 295 - 310. <https://doi.org/10.17265/2159-5836/2023.10.004>.
- Trivedi, P. (2021). In and out of *Othello*. *Indian Theatre Journal*. https://doi.org/10.1386/itj_00021_1.
- Vozar, T. (2023). *Julius Caesar* and the Revenge Plot from Oxford to Shakespeare's Globe. *Review of English Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/res/hgad042>.
- Wang, Z. (2023). Exploring Feminism in *The Merchant of Venice*. *Journal of Literature and Art*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13825577.2019.1655240>.
- White, G.L. (1981b) 'A Model of Romantic Jealousy, Motivation and Emotion 5: 295- 309.
- White, G.L. (1981a) 'Jealousy and Partner's Perceived Motives for Attraction to a Rival', *Social Psychology Quarterly* 44: 24-30.

|| ABOUT THE AUTHORS



Sabrina Wardatul Jannah Husain, S.Pd, M.A., was born in Gorontalo. She is a lecturer of English Language and Literature, Faculty of Language and Art, Universitas Negeri Manado, Indonesia. In 2015, Sabrina completed her undergraduate studies at Gorontalo State University and a master's program at Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta in 2018.



Prof. Dr. Moon Hidayati Otoluwa was born in Gorontalo. She is a professor at the Department of English Education, Faculty of Letters and Culture, Gorontalo State University. She completed her undergraduate education at IKIP Manado State in 1984, her master's education at Gadjah Mada University in 1996, and her doctoral education at Jakarta State University in 2007.



Muhammad Ilham Ali, S.S., M.Pd, is a lecturer at Universitas Negeri Manado, Indonesia. He earned his Magister degree in English Education from Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia, and his Bachelor's degree in English Literature from Hasanuddin University. He has published articles in several nationally accredited. His research interests are teaching English literature and self-access language learning.

SHAKESPEARE

*in The Modern Mirror:
Reflections on
Contemporary Issues*



"Shakespeare in the Modern Mirror: Reflections on Contemporary Issues" is an in depth reference work that dissects four of William Shakespeare's famous plays - Julius Caesar, Othello, Anthony and Cleopatra, and The Merchant of Venice - through the lens of contemporary issues affecting modern society. The book consists of four volumes that each focus on a single play with the aim of connecting classical themes with present-day dilemmas.

Each chapter in "Shakespeare in the Modern Mirror" not only provides in-depth textual analyses, but also connects Shakespeare's plays to contemporary issues, making these classic works relevant for modern readers. This book is a valuable resource for students of literature, professionals, and anyone interested in the application of literature in understanding and resolving issues of modern society.



CV. Tahta Media Group
Surakarta, Jawa Tengah
Web : www.tahtamedia.com
Ig : tahtamedia group
Telp/WA : +62 896-5427-3996

